

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name:	Prof. Bryan esciwez				
Course name and number:	Formal Methods of Philosophy	Year and Semester:	2018 Fall		
Your year in school:	KSAJ sophomore		Your major:	Writing Sem 5	

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent		Poor				
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Fairness in grading	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA	

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo was always responsive and helpful when I had questions about the homework. Whenever I emailed him, he responded promptly and thoroughly, always making sure I understood the concept and didn't have any further questions.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name:	Taimo Lossau		
Course name and number:	AS150434	Formal Methods of Philosophy	Year and Semester: Spring Fall 2018
Your year in school:	Senior	Your major:	Economics, Philosophy

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number you *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent					Poor	
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Fairness in grading	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA	

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Pretty good at explaining material during office hours, but hours should be offered before an assignment is due and not only after.

It can sometimes be difficult to understand the meaning of ~~the~~ written comments on returned work, but it's usually decipherable by referring to the (very helpful) attached answer guide.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name: <u>Robert Rynasiewicz</u>	
Course name and number: <u>150, 434</u> <u>Formal Methods of Philosophy</u>	Year and Semester: <u>2018</u> <u>Fall</u>
Your year in school: <u>Junior</u>	Your major: <u>Electrical Engineering</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number you *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent					Poor
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Fairness in grading	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

I like the well written and explained solutions for each homework. I would definite recommend this TA to a friend. He also showed a lot of care for the academic success of students in the class.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name:	<u>Robert Rypasiewicz</u>		
Course name and number:	<u>AS.150.223</u>	Year and Semester:	<u>Fall 2018</u>
Your year in school:	<u>1st Freshman</u>	Your major:	<u>Computer Science</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent					Poor	
	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Fairness in grading	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA	

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

He was able to answer questions on all course material, and taught material very well when needed. He was very enthusiastic about material, and was very good about leaving helpful comments on work and sending out additional resources.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name: <u>Ryan Jensen</u>
Course name and number: <u>150.434 Formal Logic</u> Year and Semester: <u>Fall 2018</u>
Your year in school: <u>Junior</u> Your major: <u>Math</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number you *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent		Poor			
	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Fairness in grading	3	4	3	2	1	NA
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

My TA made every effort to help me learn the material. I asked him questions over email many times and he always got back to me in a timely manner.

I would recommend this TA to my friends. My TA helped me learn the material well and cleared up any questions I had.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name:	<u>Tammo Lossau</u>		
Course name and number:	<u>Formal Methods of Philosophy</u> A5.150.434	Year and Semester:	<u>Fall 2018</u>
Your year in school:	<u>Junior</u>	Your major:	<u>Philosophy / EPS</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent		Poor			
Knowledge of course material	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	NA
Preparation for section	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	<u>NA</u>
Ability to explain course material in section	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	<u>NA</u>
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	NA
Availability for questions outside of section	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	NA
Quality of comments on written work	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	NA
Fairness in grading	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	NA
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	NA
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	<u>4</u>	3	2	1	NA

""Please see reverse for Section III""

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Grading comments were sometimes hard to read but otherwise
good job.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name: <u>Rob Rudasiewicz</u>
Course name and number: <u>AS 150223 434</u> Year and Semester: <u>Fall 2013</u>
Your year in school: <u>Freshman</u> Your major: <u>Math</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent		Poor			
	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Fairness in grading	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Cool guy, gave me tea when I had
to make up a midterm

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name: <u>Robert Rynasiewicz</u>	
Course name and number: <u>150.223</u>	Year and Semester: <u>Fall 2018</u>
Your year in school: <u>Junior</u>	Your major: <u>Bibphysics</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent					Poor	
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Fairness in grading	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA	
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA	

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name: <u>Tammo Lossau</u>
Course name and number: <u>AS. 150. 223</u> Year and Semester: <u>Fall 2018</u>
Your year in school: <u>Senior</u> Your major: <u>Neuroscience</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent						Poor	
Knowledge of course material	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Availability for questions outside of section	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Quality of comments on written work	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Fairness in grading	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	5	4	3	2	1	NA		
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	5	4	3	2	1	NA		

"Please see reverse for Section III"

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo has been an excellent TA, and I would certainly recommend him to others. His comments and explanations are consistently clear and helpful - both in office hours and over email - especially for topics that were difficult or confusing in lecture/on the problem sets. His grading is ~~clear~~ fair, and his marks are clear. Tammo has also made himself available ~~to~~ to answer questions regularly throughout the semester.

JHU Department of Philosophy Evaluation Form Teaching Assistant

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

Instructor's name: <u>Tammo Lysau</u>
Course name and number: <u>AS150434</u> --- Year and Semester: <u>FALL 2018</u>
Your year in school: <u>1.</u> Your major: <u>PHILOSOPHY</u>

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

	Excellent		Poor		
Knowledge of course material	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1 NA
Preparation for section	5	4	3	2	1 NA
Ability to explain course material in section	5	4	3	2	1 NA
Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1 NA
Availability for questions outside of section	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1 NA
Quality of comments on written work	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1 NA
Fairness in grading	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1 NA
Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1 NA
Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had	<u>5</u>	4	3	2	1 NA

""Please see reverse for Section III""

III. Student Comments

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tamms has comprehensive ability, experience and enthusiasm for the course he was TA'ing for. He was readily accessible via e-mail and office hours. He was also timely and fair in grading and explanations for the grades he has assigned.