Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| Instructor's name: Tammo Lossau | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Course name and number: | Year and Semester: Spring 2018 |
| Your year in school: <u>Senior</u> | Your major:BME / _cs |
| | |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

| | Exce | llent | | Poc | r | |
|--|----------|-------|---|-----|---|----|
| Knowledge of course material | 6 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Preparation for section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in section | _ (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Availability for questions outside of section | G | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Quality of comments on written work | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Fairness in grading | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo comes off as someone who genumely wanted us to learn as much as possible, and did whatever was in his power to make us succeed. He helped me understand certain nuances on the material that was not overed in lecture, and overall was very receptive to questions.

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| Instructor's name: \[\lambda \alpha \bar{O} | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Course name and number: Intro. to Logic | Year and Semester: 2018 Spr. ag |
| Your year in school: 4th Year | Your major: Spanish |
| | 1 |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

| | Exc | ellent | | Pod | or | |
|--|-------|--------|---|-----|----|----|
| Knowledge of course material | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Preparation for section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | _ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Availability for questions outside of section | (5) |) 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Quality of comments on written work | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Fairness in grading | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NÀ |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

My TA was able to effectively tench and explain concepts. This TA was very transparent with the course and in his communication. I felt more prepared to do HW and the midterns after going to SCCHON.

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out all three sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| Instructor's name: Tammo Cassau |
|--|
| Course name and number: 43 150. 632 Logic Year and Semester: Spry sols |
| Your year in school: BAIMA, 5th year Your major: Philosophy |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

| | Excel | lent | | Pod | r | |
|--|-------|------|---|-----|---|----|
| Knowledge of course material | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Preparation for section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Availability for questions outside of section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Quality of comments on written work | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Fairness in grading | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |

~Please see reverse for Section III~

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

I horestly convert say arough good they about Tamme.

He not societed so hord to never somet some spring a difficult, or at least not especially seek explained come, not only understandable, but quite good. Tammo consums onails at all nours of the day, such normally help, advice, a realisting our to teet a very. I houstly don't remarks many a TA as good at Tammo houstly don't remarks many a TA as good at Tammo houstly don't remarks. I near that recally sinerally.

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| 4 | > . | 150 | .118 |
|---|---------------|-----|------|
| (| 6 | 2) | |

| Instructor's name: Tammo Loss | Transfer A. C. | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|
| Instructor's name: / AMMO LOSS | ,uu | 3 3 12 |
| Course name and number: Itho Formul | Logiz Year and Semester: Spring | Soib |
| Your year in school: Junior | Your major: Philosophy | Ď. |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

| | Exce | llent | | Poc | or | |
|--|------------|-------|---|-----|----|----|
| Knowledge of course material | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Preparation for section | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Availability for questions outside of section | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Quality of comments on written work | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Fairness in grading | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |

~Please see reverse for Section III~

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo is an excellent instructor. I would delinitely recommend him to a licend. I honestly lewed more from him in section than I did from the professor in lecture.

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| | N |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| Instructor's name: | |
| Course name and number: Formal Logic | Year and Semester: Spring 2018 |
| Your year in school: 201 9 | Your major: <u>Molecular and Cer</u> / |
| | |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

| | Exce | llent | | Poc | r | |
|--|------|-------|-----|-----|---|----|
| Knowledge of course material | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Preparation for section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Availability for questions outside of section | 5 | (4) |) з | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Quality of comments on written work | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Fairness in grading | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | (S) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |

~Please see reverse for Section III~

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Materral was taught in a lot of detail.

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out all three sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| Instructor's name: Tammo Lossau | 6 |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Course name and number: AS. 151.18 | Year and Semester: 2018, Sem 2 |
| Your year in school: <u>fres n man</u> | Your major:AMS . |
| | |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

| | Excelle | ent | | Poo | r | |
|--|---------|-----|---|-----|---|-----|
| Knowledge of course material | 5 (| 4) | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Preparation for section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | 5 (| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Availability for questions outside of section | 5 (| 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | -NA |
| Quality of comments on written work | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Fairness in grading | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |

~Please see reverse for Section III~

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tummo was extremely helpful. He would give me guidence through email when I had questions and always responded reflicently and it made this class a lot smoother for me in times of trustration. Great TA, really cares about us students and wants to see us do nell engaging section leadership!

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| Instructor's name: | ammo Lossay |
|--|----------------------------|
| Course name and num Your year in school: | Senier Your major: History |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

| | | Excellent | | | Poor | | |
|--|-------|-----------|---|---|------|----|--|
| Knowledge of course material | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Preparation for section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Ability to explain course material in section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Availability for questions outside of section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Quality of comments on written work | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Fairness in grading | _ (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

· Answered emails very quickly and helpfully · Would recommend

· No Changes necessary

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out all three sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| Instructor's name: TAM N | no Lo | oss Au | |
|--------------------------|------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| Course name and number: | 120 FORMAL | Look Year and Semester: _ | 208 |
| Your year in school: | 012 | Your major: | MPUTER SCHENCE |
| | | | |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your feel best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

| | | Excellent | | | Poor | | |
|--|------------|------------|---|---|------|----|--|
| Knowledge of course material | <u>G</u>) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Preparation for section | S | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Ability to explain course material in section | 9 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | MA | |
| Availability for questions outside of section | (3) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Quality of comments on written work | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Fairness in grading | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | \$ | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | (ŝ) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

TARMO WAS AWESOME & HAD A GREAT UNDERSTANDING

OF HOW TO EXPLAIN MATERIAL. VERY GOUD AT TEXPLAINING

SUBTLE PIFFERENCES BETWEEL PROBLEMS. VERY UNDERSTANDING

BEAST TO GO TO FOR HELP. RESPONDED TO EMAILS

QUICKLY WI HELPEL ANSWERS.

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out *all three* sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

I. Course and Student Information

| Instructor's name: Tammo Lossou | E. |
|---------------------------------|--|
| | ASJSO 178 year and Semester: Soring 2018 |
| Your year in school: Freshman | Your major: Computer Science |
| | |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

| | | Excellent | | | Poor | | |
|--|-----|-----------|---|---|------|----|--|
| Knowledge of course material | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Preparation for section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Ability to explain course material in section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Availability for questions outside of section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Quality of comments on written work | 5 (| 4) | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Fairness in grading | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA | |

~Please see reverse for Section III~

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo was a great TA. I really liked how he emailed PDFs of the HW each week and was easily accessible through email. Really informative / knowledgeable in section as well. No complaints from me.

Instructions. Please help improve the quality of teaching in philosophy at Hopkins by taking this opportunity to evaluate your teaching assistant. Please fill out all three sections of the evaluation; note that section III is on the reverse of this form. Your responses are confidential, and this evaluation will not be seen by your T.A. until all grades for the course have been turned in. We appreciate the time and effort you spend filling out this form.

1. Course and Student Information

| | Ω. |
|--|---------------------------------------|
| Instructor's name: Tamno | |
| Course name and number: Into to Formal Lease | Normand Samastary 7018 Samas |
| Course name and number. 2500 to terms 1 out | |
| Your year in school: Volice | Your major: Earth & Planetage Science |
| | V |

II. Teaching Assistant's Rating by Category

Instructions. Where "5" means "excellent" and "1" means "poor," please circle the number your *feel* best describes your TA in the categories below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, circle "NA."

| | Exce | Poor | | | | |
|--|------|------|---|---|---|------|
| Knowledge of course material | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Preparation for section | 5 | (4) | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in section | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Ability to explain course material in a one-on-one setting | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | (NA) |
| Availability for questions outside of section | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | (NA) |
| Quality of comments on written work | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Fairness in grading | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |
| Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructor's you have had | (5) | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | NA |

Instructions. Please use the following space to elaborate on your TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

His knowledge of the material was excellent and explained the more difficult concepts in a simplified way. I would recommend him to any friend taking this course. I never had any one on one time, so there wasn't much gain in terms of my development as a student.

Overall the structure of section was good and the material was presented clearly.