Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

nparent1@jhu.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	◉	○	○	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo was an excellent TA, always leading very engaging and enriching sections. He had an excellent knowledge of the course material and he was very accessible. I did feel he was a strict grader for our essays though.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

madhu.rajmohan9@gmail.com

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

I think Tammo is an excellent TA who is very invested in making sure everyone learns. He put in a lot of effort into making sure he's prepared for section and used to come to every class (before it was virtual) which is thought was very nice. I really liked the interactive format of section with the think tanks and small group discussions. He is very knowledgeable about the material and can answer any questions I have. doesn't force people to talk and is very laid back and great. Would definitely recommend to a friend.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

byi3@jhu.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	⊙	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	⊙	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

ashibuy1@jh.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo was great. Super knowledgable and friendly. Always available to help if needed and made sections run smoothly.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

wrong1@jh.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

I think that you did a very good job of clarifying concepts. I often felt that you were able to make complicated and abstract topics easier to understand and talk about. I noticed that it was sometimes difficult to spur conversation in recitation. It sometimes is probably just students being bored or tired, but I think that something that could help a lot is what you did with in the second to last section by bringing up interesting points that people made in the Blackboard forums. Also, I think that having student's spontaneously share their ideas is more effective than compelling them to take a position on an issue and making them have a formal debate about it.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

rrivero2@jhu.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

This TA was great. I liked mostly that he's what I imagine as a real philosopher looking for truth and the most likely truth. I wasn't aware we could acquire TAs so that I would recommend him to a friend, but I would. Tammo clearly knew a lot about the subjects we covered, and every so often threw new ways of seeing the problem at us that were very interesting. He himself was also open to hearing all sorts of opinions. The further we got into the year the more advantages grew and his disadvantages diminished to the point of being negligible. I have no complaints about Tammo, I like his focus on the philosophizing and the real interest in metaphysics he seems to have

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

bpark28@jhu.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

In previous philosophy courses I've taken, the TA is an important factor to my success in the course, and Tammo was no exception. Recitation was always pretty helpful for solidifying the content in lecture that week, and he was always well-prepared. He was accessible for office hours to read over my essays before submission and gave thoughtful feedback after grading. His responses were really interesting in Blackboard discussion posts, tying in a lot of knowledgeable thoughts from different fields together, which was really cool. A great semester, overall!!

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

npark9@jhu.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	◉	○	○	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	◯	◯	◯	⦿	◯	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	◯	◯	◯	⦿	◯	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

I thought Tammo did a very good job of setting up and making engaging conversations in section.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

gbaghda1@jhu.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	●	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	●	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	●	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	◉	○	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo was very knowledgeable about all the material, and super helpful and accessible outside of section, both before and after the class went online. Only issue I found with the section is that discussion was sometimes dry because people didn't always want to speak, so making the sections more lively and interactive would be a positive change (maybe more variety in how its run).

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

kcritte1@jhu.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	◯	◯	◯	◯	⊙	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	◯	◯	◯	◯	⊙	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	◯	◯	◯	◯	⊙	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	◯	◯	◯	◯	⊙	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

Tammo is a very kind and knowledgeable TA. In a discussion heavy class, he was always capable of igniting good discourse by asking the right questions or interjecting with philosophical material that was not necessarily discussed in class but still relevant. Overall, it was a pleasure having Tammo as a TA.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

dgong3@jh.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	⦿	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	⦿	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	⦿	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	⦿	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms

Tammo's TA Eval

Where 5 means "excellent" and 1 means "poor", please select the number you feel best describes the TA in the category below. If a category does not apply, or if you lack relevant information, please skip that question.

Email address *

clacey3@jh.edu

Knowledge of course material

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Preparation for section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain material in section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Ability to explain course material in one-on-one setting

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Availability for questions outside of section

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Quality of comments on written work

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Fairness in grading

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Enthusiasm for subject and for teaching

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Overall effectiveness, compared to other instructors you've had *

	1	2	3	4	5	
poor	○	○	○	○	◉	excellent

Please use the following space to elaborate on the TA's teaching ability. What did you like most about this TA? Would you recommend this TA to a friend? How did this TA contribute to your development as a student? Would you recommend that this TA change any aspect of his or her teaching? Comment as fully as possible.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google.

Google Forms